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On March 23, the ECB published its Annual Report on supervisory activities 2016 which the ECB presented to the European Parliament (public hearing) and the general media (press conference). The report includes insights for banks that aim to prioritize their activities with the European banking supervisor.

Key achievements in 2016 and continuing activities in 2017

Notwithstanding other areas, the annual report on supervisory activities highlights a number of areas where the ECB has achieved further harmonization in the SSM model of supervision over the course of 2016 (summarized in the following):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key achievements in 2016</th>
<th>Activities in 2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>✓ Correlation between capital requirements and risk profiles further increased (now 76%)</td>
<td>✓ No substantial changes expected for 2017 compared to 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ Pillar 2 guidance (P2G) introduced</td>
<td>✓ Continue with targeted review based on developed tools and methods (CR, MR and CCR models)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ MDA trigger decreased (now on average 8.3% CET1 ratio)</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SREP methodology**

- ✓ Preparations for TRIM (e.g. on model selection, guide on risk types and inspection techniques, organizational provisions)
- ✓ Launched 109 internal model (IM) investigations
- ✓ Harmonization of IM assessment practices

**Targeted Review on Internal Models (TRIM)**

- ✓ Drafting and consultation of guidance on NPLs (final version published in March 2017)
- ✓ JSTs to actively engage with banks to support implementation of NPL guidance
- ✓ Final report on stock take results of national supervisory practices and legal frameworks (incl. all Member States)
- ✓ Potential measures to develop secondary NPL-markets (addressing impediments such as a lack of NPL-servicing industry, data quality etc.)

**Non-Performing Loans (NPLs)**

- ✓ ✓ 185 on-site inspections (OSIs) approved
- ✓ Improved OSI planning (e.g. targeted engagement level, blind spot tracker, shortened duration of missions)
- ✓ Revision of credit and IT risk assessment methodology

**On-site supervision**

- ✓ ✓ Methodology for analysis of shipping portfolios
- ✓ ✓ Improvements of IT risk assessment methodology
- ✓ ✓ Guide to on-site inspection

**Thematic Reviews (TRs)**

- ✓ ✓ Profitability assessment results will inform SREP (primarily in 2018, by way of benchmarking)
- ✓ ✓ IFRS 9 TR to be expected to contribute to IFRS 9 implementation and provisioning policies
- ✓ ✓ TR on RDA and risk reporting will feed into SREP
deep-dive analysis of liquidity and credit risk
✓ Risk governance and appetite: Monitoring of implementations by banks
✓ Leveraged finance: Draft guidance (incl. consultation)
✓ JSTs to focus on challenge processes/abilities of management boards, RAFeffectiveness of limits, interplay between risk appetite and strategy etc.
✓ Issuance of final leveraged finance guidance, monitoring of banks’ appetite for leveraged finance

Indirect supervision of Less Significant Institutions (LSIs)
✓ Joint Supervisory Standards on supervisory and recovery planning, conduct of OSIs at LSIs, supervision of car financing institutions, licensing of LSIs with FinTech business models
✓ Crisis management cooperation framework
✓ Trial of SREP methodology for LSIs
✓ Improved notification framework between NCAs and the ECB

Overall, these achievements and activities are well in line and a follow up of ECB’s supervisory priorities for 2017 that were published on December 15 (see figure 1).

Figure 1: Supervisory priorities 2016 and 2017. Source: ECB.

Insights from the annual report

The report also includes a number of additional insights that are worth being mentioned here:

- **Authorizations:** Altogether, 3,182 authorization procedures were notified to the ECB in 2016, comprising licensing, qualifying holdings, management and supervisory board appointments as well as passporting (see figure 2). Except for the (increased) amount of lapsing of license, the numbers are broadly comparable to 2015. The decrease in passporting procedures is mainly due to improvements in the notification process. In general, the ECB made further efforts to accelerate the processing and facilitate workflows with NCAs.

- **Recovery and Resolution:** The ECB assessed recovery plans submitted by banks in 2015 and fed the respective notification letters with the results of benchmarking exercises based on its assessments. Not least due to a bilateral Memorandum of Understanding, the cooperation with the SRB was intensified (e.g. information and data exchange in the areas...
of recovery plans, draft resolution plans, MREL, granting SRB access to ECBs supervisory information IT systems).

- **Budgetary consumption:** The ECB expenditures for banking supervision tasks comprise salaries, rent and building maintenance, as well as other operating costs (e.g. IT services, consultancy,Trainings). The increase in total expenditure by about 38% (compared to 2015) was primarily caused by decisions and developments from 2015 such as growing staff, relocation to permanent premises and the provision of statistical and IT infrastructure (see table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(in € millions)</th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Salaries and benefits</td>
<td>68.9</td>
<td>141.3</td>
<td>180.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rent and building maintenance</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>58.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other operating expenditures</td>
<td>74.8</td>
<td>110.3</td>
<td>143.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total expenditures</td>
<td>156.9</td>
<td>277.1</td>
<td>382.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Insights from the public hearing and press conference on the annual report

During the press conference, Daniele Nouy (Chair of the Supervisory Board of the ECB) and Sabine Lautenschläger (Vice-Chair of the Supervisory Board of the ECB) provided also some of their views on current challenges within the SSM:

- **Consolidation:** The need for consolidation in the Euro area banking sector was emphasized during the press conference and the facilitation of cross-border mergers was repeatedly mentioned as goal of the banking union (which would imply some sort of support by the ECB for cross-border mergers). However, since the ECB cannot actively push for consolidation and no specific measures for cross-border mergers are mentioned in the annual report, consolidation most likely will continue to be one of the items on ECB’s wish list instead of its list of supervisory priorities.

- **Non-performing Loans:** The ECB treated NPLs as one of their priorities in 2016 and sees some success in 2016 in the reduction of NPL inventories (fallen by € 54 billion to 6.5% of total loans). The publication of the final NPL guidance is regarded as a major milestone. The ECB’s next step regarding NPLs will be to formulate expectations for banks’ steady-state (i.e. appropriate in- and outflows of NPLs on bank-level). With regard to the proposal of a European bad bank, Nouy considers this to be one tool among others for the NPL workout, but not a silver bullet which could lower the stigma for NPL selling banks and increase the NPL sellers’ bargaining power.

- **Brexit:** The ECB is adamant in that banks licensing will only be granted to well-managed and well-capitalized banks in the Euro area (and not to empty shell companies) with adequate local risk management, sufficient local staff and operational independence. The ECB will grant bank-specific phase-in periods (“some will take months, some might even take one or two years”) and publish their overall Brexit positions in April on its website. For incoming banks, there are (as admitted by the ECB) ways to avoid SSM supervision by setting up third-country branches that are supervised nationally. The same issue arises with pure investment banks and broker-dealers. The ECB fears a race to the bottom between countries in these cases. Proposals to solve this situation are being debated in the context of the European Commission’s new legislative framework. If these proposals become law, third-country branches would have to be legally controlled by a SSM-supervised intermediate holding company and significant investment banks and broker-dealers could be regulated in the same mold as regular banks. In both cases, the success or failure of these proposals could lead to a vastly different post-Brexit regulatory landscape in the Euro area.

### Banking Union: half-full or half-empty?

Asked about the incompleteness of the European banking union during the press conference, Lautenschläger responded that both the banking supervision and resolution mechanism, which represent two of the three banking union pillars, are functioning well already today. Adding to that, Nouy said that the EU Commission has come up with a good package for the third pillar (i.e. the European deposit guarantee scheme) that could be implemented fast. By way of analogy, this Q&A appears like a discussion about a glass of water that is either half-full or half-empty. However, irrespective of the framing, there appears to be no real differences to necessary improvements to make the European banking union a success and ensure a single banking market to emerge in the future. In our view, the Brexit might de facto represent an important milestone for the harmonization of the banking union as it effectively strengthens the bargaining power of the EU and allows to address existing weaknesses due to the relocation of banks and well the NPL sellers’ bargaining power. The ECB fears a race to the bottom between countries in these cases. Proposals to solve this situation are being debated in the context of the European Commission’s new legislative framework. If these proposals become law, third-country branches would have to be legally controlled by a SSM-supervised intermediate holding company and significant investment banks and broker-dealers could be regulated in the same mold as regular banks. In both cases, the success or failure of these proposals could lead to a vastly different post-Brexit regulatory landscape in the Euro area.
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