

Euro Tax Flash

Issue 262 – October 21, 2015

Euro Tax Flash from KPMG's EU Tax Centre



EU Commission announces final decisions on state aid investigation into the Netherlands and Luxembourg tax rulings

[State aid – tax rulings – the Netherlands – Luxembourg](#)

On October 21, 2015 the European Commission announced its final decisions ([Press Release](#)) on its State aid investigations into transfer pricing rulings granted by the Netherlands and Luxembourg to companies in the Starbucks and Fiat Finance groups, respectively. These decisions confirm the Commission's preliminary view that the rulings in question involved State aid (see [ETF 233](#)). This aid has now been determined to be incompatible with the internal market, and must be recovered (with interest) by the relevant national authorities from the beneficiaries of the aid.

Background

The Commission announced its preliminary conclusions in the present two cases in June 2014. Similar investigations have been launched in respect of possible State aid granted by Ireland and Luxembourg to companies in the Apple and Amazon groups, respectively. In December 2014 the Commission ordered all

Member States to list all the tax rulings issued since January 1, 2010, and in June 2015 requested 15 Member States to provide detailed information on some of their rulings. The investigations of tax rulings (primarily APAs) is one of three relevant EU initiatives in the areas of tax transparency (see [ETF 247](#)) and tackling harmful tax competition between Member States and tax avoidance (see [ETF 253](#)). A related but separate development is the recent agreement on the exchange of information on tax rulings between Member States and the Commission (see [ETF 258](#)).

The Commission is required by EU law to review state aid granted by Member States and, if it finds that the aid is incompatible with the internal market, to order the Member State concerned not to put it into effect, or to abolish or alter it, or order the beneficiary to repay such aid within a prescribed timeframe. Broadly speaking, aid is incompatible with EU law if it distorts competition by, for example, favoring certain undertakings thus affecting trade between Member States, provided that it does not meet the conditions for Commission approval under the EU Treaty. Such aid is illegal and must be recovered by the Member State in question from its beneficiary.

The decisions

Both decisions relate to transfer pricing rulings granted to the companies in question. The alleged state aid arises from the method laid down in the rulings for the calculation of the taxable basis. The Starbucks ruling concerns manufacturing activities and the Fiat ruling concerns financing activities. The Commission's view is that the rulings endorsed "artificial and complex methods" for computing the taxable basis that do not "reflect economic reality" and thereby grant a selective and unfair competitive advantage to those companies.

The Commission concluded that both rulings constitute state aid that is incompatible with EU law. The decisions require the Netherlands and Luxembourg to recover the aid from the companies in question, and provide a specific methodology for calculating this. The Commission estimates the total amount for each company to be EUR 20-30 million.

Next steps

These decisions form part of the standard state aid investigation procedure. The non-confidential parts of the decision are expected to be published in the next few months. Final decisions on the other two investigations are still awaited. It is now open to the Member States or the companies in question to appeal the decisions before the Court of Justice of the European Union. The Dutch government has reacted by saying that it is surprised at the Commission's decision on the Starbucks case and that it considers that the

international guidelines have been properly followed. The Dutch government will consider the decision carefully and will inform the Dutch parliament within a few weeks of its findings and possible next steps. The Luxembourg government has made similar statements.

EU Tax Centre Comment

These decisions are clearly a significant step in the Commission's efforts to improve transparency and combat aggressive tax planning. Whether the rulings in question will ultimately be considered to constitute state aid will now depend on whether the decisions are appealed to the Court of Justice of the European Union. The recovery of state aid is a politically sensitive issue and there have been calls in the European Parliament for aid not to be given to the Member States that granted the state aid, but instead for this aid to be diverted to, for example, the EU budget. The latter is not currently possible and requires modification of the EU Treaty, which is unlikely at the moment.

Should you require further assistance in this matter, please contact the EU Tax Centre or, as appropriate, your local KPMG tax advisor.

Robert van der Jagt

Chairman, KPMG's EU Tax Centre and
Partner, Meijburg & Co
vanderjagt.robert@kpmg.com

Barry Larking

Director EU Tax Services, KPMG's EU Tax Centre
Director, Meijburg & Co
larking.barry@kpmg.com

[Back to top](#)

kpmg.com/socialmedia



kpmg.com/app



[Privacy](#) | [Legal](#)

KPMG's EU Tax Centre, Laan van Langerhuize 9, 1186 DS Amstelveen, Netherlands

Euro Tax Flash is published by KPMG International Cooperative in collaboration with the EU Tax Centre. Its content should be viewed only as a general guide and should not be relied on without consulting your local KPMG tax adviser for the specific application of a country's tax rules to your own situation. The information contained herein is of a general nature and is not intended to address the circumstances of any particular individual or

entity. Although we endeavor to provide accurate and timely information, there can be no guarantee that such information is accurate as of the date it is received or that it will continue to be accurate in the future. No one should act on such information without appropriate professional advice after a thorough examination of the particular situation.

© 2015 KPMG International Cooperative (KPMG International), a Swiss entity. Member firms of the KPMG network of independent firms are affiliated with KPMG International. KPMG International provides no client services. No member firm has any authority to obligate or bind KPMG International or any other member firm vis-à-vis third parties, nor does KPMG International have any such authority to obligate or bind any member firm. All rights reserved.