



## Have offshore indirect disposal rules been extended to disposals by non-resident individuals?

### Matters discussed in this issue:

- Notice of the State Administration of Taxation on Strengthening the Administration of Corporate Income Tax on Gains derived by Non-resident Enterprises from Equity Transfers, Guo Shui Han [2009] No. 698 (Circular 698).
- Reported case of the application by the Shenzhen local tax bureau of the general anti-avoidance rule, contained in the CIT Law, to tax an indirect offshore disposal of an equity interest in a Chinese company by a non-resident individual.

### Background

According to recent Chinese media reports, the Shenzhen Local Tax Bureau (Shenzhen LTB) recently collected tax in the amount of RMB 13.68 million from the indirect disposal of shares in a Chinese enterprise by a non-resident individual. It is, however, unclear as to whether it is Individual Income Tax (IIT) or Corporate Income Tax (CIT) which was collected.

The general anti-avoidance rule (GAAR), contained in Article 47 of the CIT Law, may be used, pursuant to Circular 698, to re-characterise an offshore indirect transfer as a direct disposal of the underlying equity interest in the Chinese company by the non-resident disposer (typically being a foreign corporation). Such re-characterisation is applied to arrangements involving an abusive use of organisational forms, where the taxpayer is unable to demonstrate economic substance in the offshore company.

While Circular 698 has been used by the Chinese tax authorities in a number of high-profile cases involving non-resident corporate sellers, the position adopted by some practitioners has been that the GAAR provision, contained solely within the CIT Law, should not apply to individuals, as they should be subject to IIT on their taxable gains pursuant to the IIT Law, which, prima facie, does not contain an equivalent GAAR provision. This new case appears to indicate that the State Administration of Taxation (SAT) (which, under Circular 2, must approve any application of the GAAR by a local tax bureau) does not view this technical reading as an insurmountable hurdle to the application of the GAAR to tax a non-resident individual disposer.

## **Facts of the case in Shenzhen**

An individual, understood to be a tax resident of Hong Kong, established a Hong Kong incorporated company, which in turn established a Chinese incorporated subsidiary in 2000. The Chinese subsidiary established a logistics business based out of Shenzhen, which acquired substantial warehousing facilities, the value of which increased substantially over the course of ten years. In 2010, the individual transferred the shares in the Hong Kong company to a Singapore company for a consideration of RMB 200 million.

The Shenzhen LTB, following an investigation and correspondence with the individual, obtained SAT approval to re-characterise the transaction as a transfer of the equity in the Chinese incorporated subsidiary, and subjected the gain to tax of RMB 13.68 million. The Shenzhen LTB viewed the transaction as an abuse of organisational form and lacking commercial substance. This conclusion was primarily based on the fact that the Hong Kong company had a small registered capital (HKD 10,000/RMB 8,300) relative to the transfer price of RMB 200 million, and did not have substantive operating activities; as such, it was seen to be a shell or conduit for GAAR purposes.

## **KPMG observations**

First of all, it is important to note that the possibility that the abovementioned case has been misreported by the media cannot be ruled out as such misreporting has happened before. However, it is important for taxpayers to be aware that the risk of Circular 698 being applied to non-resident individuals might not be as remote as it was previously thought.

The media reports do not make clear the technical basis adopted by the Shenzhen LTB in imposing the tax charge. For instance, the media reports do not directly mention an application of Circular 698. However, the factors referred to by the Shenzhen LTB in analysing the transaction, such as the lack of substantive operating activities in the Hong Kong company leading to it being viewed as a conduit, and the manner in which the GAAR was applied to look through the Hong Kong company to regard the transaction as being a transfer of the equity in the Chinese company, indicate that the Shenzhen LTB dealt with the transaction in a manner consistent with the principles contained in Circular 698 for application to non-resident corporations.

From this case, it would appear that the ambit of China's offshore indirect transfer taxing provisions, applicable for transfers by non-resident corporations in Circular 698, has been expanded to encompass share transfers by non-resident individuals with the endorsement of the SAT. As a consequence, non-resident individuals indirectly disposing of equity interests in Chinese companies, by transferring shares in offshore holding companies with limited commercial substance, should be aware that the gains realised may be subjected to Chinese tax. Further, the case would also likely result in the Circular 698 transferor reporting also being extended to foreign individuals where the requisite conditions are satisfied.

It is unclear from media reports if it was IIT or CIT that was collected. However, if it was the former, insofar as the IIT Law does not contain a specific provision allowing for the use of a GAAR, it would appear that the Shenzhen LTB has imported the concept from the CIT Law for the purposes of applying the IIT Law to an offshore individual. Technically, this is quite an expansive reading of the IIT Law provisions and the Chinese legislature and SAT may perhaps consider the introduction of regulations/specific circulars to explicitly provide for the application of a GAAR under the IIT Law. Whatever the basis adopted by the tax authorities, it is hoped that the SAT will provide further clarification, in due course, as to the basis adopted in this case so as to provide more certainty to taxpayers. The same applies to the other matters of uncertainty in relation to the application of Circular 698, such as its interaction with China's tax treaty network. For more information, please click [here](#).

# Contact us

## **Khoonming Ho**

Partner in Charge, Tax  
China and Hong Kong SAR  
Tel. +86 (10) 8508 7082  
khoonming.ho@kpmg.com

## **Beijing/Shenyang**

### **David Ling**

Partner in Charge, Tax  
Northern China  
Tel. +86 (10) 8508 7083  
david.ling@kpmg.com

## **Qingdao**

### **Vincent Pang**

Tel. +86 (532) 8907 1728  
vincent.pang@kpmg.com

## **Shanghai/Nanjing**

### **Lewis Lu**

Partner in Charge, Tax  
Central China  
Tel. +86 (21) 2212 3421  
lewis.lu@kpmg.com

## **Hangzhou**

### **Martin Ng**

Tel. +86 (571) 2803 8081  
martin.ng@kpmg.com

## **Chengdu**

### **Anthony Chau**

Tel. +86 (28) 8673 3916  
anthony.chau@kpmg.com

## **Guangzhou**

### **Lilly Li**

Tel. +86 (20) 3813 8999  
lilly.li@kpmg.com

## **Fuzhou/Xiamen**

### **Jean Jin Li**

Tel. +86 (592) 2150 888  
jean.j.li@kpmg.com

## **Shenzhen**

### **Eileen Sun**

Partner in Charge, Tax  
Southern China  
Tel. +86 (755) 2547 1188  
eileen.gh.sun@kpmg.com

## **Hong Kong**

### **Karmen Yeung**

Tel. +852 2143 8753  
karmen.yeung@kpmg.com

## **Northern China**

### **David Ling**

Partner in Charge, Tax  
Northern China  
Tel. +86 (10) 8508 7083  
david.ling@kpmg.com

## **Vaughn Barber**

Tel. +86 (10) 8508 7071  
vaughn.barber@kpmg.com

## **Roger Di**

Tel. +86 (10) 8508 7512  
roger.di@kpmg.com

## **John Gu**

Tel. +86 (10) 8508 7095  
john.gu@kpmg.com

## **Jonathan Jia**

Tel. +86 (10) 8508 7517  
jonathan.jia@kpmg.com

## **Vincent Pang**

Tel. +86 (10) 8508 7516  
+86 (532) 8907 1728  
vincent.pang@kpmg.com

## **Michael Wong**

Tel. +86 (10) 8508 7085  
michael.wong@kpmg.com

## **Irene Yan**

Tel. +86 (10) 8508 7508  
irene.yan@kpmg.com

## **Tracy Zhang**

Tel. +86 (10) 8508 7509  
tracy.h.zhang@kpmg.com

## **Catherine Zhao**

Tel. +86 (10) 8508 7515  
catherine.zhao@kpmg.com

## **Hiroyuki Takahashi**

Tel. +86 (10) 8508 7078  
hiroyuki.takahashi@kpmg.com

## **Abe Zhao**

Tel. +86 (10) 8508 7096  
abe.zhao@kpmg.com

## **Central China**

### **Lewis Lu**

Partner in Charge, Tax  
Central China  
Tel. +86 (21) 2212 3421  
lewis.lu@kpmg.com

## **Anthony Chau**

Tel. +86 (21) 2212 3206  
+86 (28) 8673 3916  
anthony.chau@kpmg.com

## **Cheng Chi**

Tel. +86 (21) 2212 3433  
cheng.chi@kpmg.com

## **Bolivia Cheung**

Tel. +86 (21) 2212 3268  
bolivia.cheung@kpmg.com

## **Dawn Foo**

Tel. +86 (21) 2212 3412  
dawn.foo@kpmg.com

## **Chris Ho**

Tel. +86 (21) 2212 3406  
chris.ho@kpmg.com

## **Sunny Leung**

Tel. +86 (21) 2212 3488  
sunny.leung@kpmg.com

## **Martin Ng**

Tel. +86 (21) 2212 2881  
+86 (571) 2803 8081  
martin.ng@kpmg.com

## **Yasuhiko Otani**

Tel. +86 (21) 2212 3360  
yasuhiko.otani@kpmg.com

## **Grace Xie**

Tel. +86 (21) 2212 3422  
grace.xie@kpmg.com

## **Zichong Xu**

Tel. +86 (21) 2212 3404  
zichong.xu@kpmg.com

## **Jennifer Weng**

Tel. +86 (21) 2212 3431  
jennifer.weng@kpmg.com

## **William Zhang**

Tel. +86 (21) 2212 3415  
william.zhang@kpmg.com

## **David Huang**

Tel. +86 (21) 2212 3605  
david.huang@kpmg.com

## **Amy Rao**

Tel. +86 (21) 2212 3208  
amy.rao@kpmg.com

## **Leonard Zhang**

Tel. +86 (21) 2212 2888  
leonard.zhang@kpmg.com

## **Southern China**

### **Eileen Sun**

Partner in Charge, Tax  
Southern China  
Tel. +86 (755) 2547 1188  
eileen.gh.sun@kpmg.com

## **Jean Jin Li**

Tel. +86 (755) 2547 1128  
+86 (592) 2150 888  
jean.j.li@kpmg.com

## **Jean Ngan Li**

Tel. +86 (755) 2547 1198  
jean.li@kpmg.com

## **Lilly Li**

Tel. +86 (20) 3813 8999  
lilly.li@kpmg.com

## **Kelly Liao**

Tel. +86 (20) 3813 8668  
kelly.liao@kpmg.com

## **Angie Ho**

Tel. +86 (755) 2547 1276  
angie.ho@kpmg.com

## **Hong Kong**

### **Ayesha M. Lau**

Partner in Charge, Tax  
Hong Kong SAR  
Tel. +852 2826 7165  
ayasha.lau@kpmg.com

## **Chris Abbiss**

Tel. +852 2826 7226  
chris.abbiss@kpmg.com

## **Darren Bowdern**

Tel. +852 2826 7166  
darren.bowdern@kpmg.com

## **Alex Capri**

Tel. +852 2826 7223  
alex.capri@kpmg.com

## **Barbara Forrest**

Tel. +852 2978 8941  
barbara.forrest@kpmg.com

## **Ken Harvey**

Tel. +852 2685 7806  
ken.harvey@kpmg.com

## **Nigel Hobler**

Tel. +852 2143 8784  
nigel.hobler@kpmg.com

## **Charles Kinsley**

Tel. +852 2826 8070  
charles.kinsley@kpmg.com

## **John Kondos**

Tel. +852 2685 7457  
john.kondos@kpmg.com

## **Curtis Ng**

Tel. +852 2143 8709  
curtis.ng@kpmg.com

## **Kari Pahlman**

Tel. +852 2143 8777  
kari.pahlman@kpmg.com

## **John Timpany**

Tel. +852 2143 8790  
john.timpany@kpmg.com

## **Jennifer Wong**

Tel. +852 2978 8288  
jennifer.wong@kpmg.com

## **Christopher Xing**

Tel. +852 2978 8965  
christopher.xing@kpmg.com

## **Karmen Yeung**

Tel. +852 2143 8753  
karmen.yeung@kpmg.com

[kpmg.com/cn](http://kpmg.com/cn)

The information contained herein is of a general nature and is not intended to address the circumstances of any particular individual or entity. Although we endeavour to provide accurate and timely information, there can be no guarantee that such information is accurate as of the date it is received or that it will continue to be accurate in the future. No one should act upon such information without appropriate professional advice after a thorough examination of the particular situation.

© 2011 KPMG, a Hong Kong partnership and a member firm of the KPMG network of independent member firms affiliated with KPMG International Cooperative ("KPMG International"), a Swiss entity. © 2011 KPMG Advisory (China) Limited, a wholly foreign owned enterprise in China and a member firm of the KPMG network of independent member firms affiliated with KPMG International Cooperative ("KPMG International"), a Swiss entity. All rights reserved. The KPMG name, logo and "cutting through complexity" are registered trademarks or trademarks of KPMG International.