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Introduction

Blockchain or Distributed Ledger Technology (DLT) is seen as a revolutionary new technology that might enable potentially significant cost savings and efficiency gains.

Blockchain enables multiple parties in a value chain to efficiently work together based on a single source of truth. This facilitates sharing data between multiple parties, transferring value in a digital way and eliminating the need for costly reconciliations.

New risks

Due to the nature of blockchain, implementing distributed ledger technology also introduces new and specific risks that do not exist in more traditional centralized systems.

This raises the question whether new blockchain implementations will be sufficiently in control when moving from proof-of-concept phase to production.

KPMG has identified eight specific blockchain risk areas including interoperability, security, access management, privacy and scalability.

Quick scan

KPMG has developed a blockchain maturity model which helps to get a grip on the specific risks associated with blockchain implementations.

This framework helps you to get an understanding of the IT risk maturity of the blockchain implementation in all eight risk areas.

The assessment enables you to identify weak points and to spot opportunities for improvement. The overall report provides you with concrete pointers as to how to improve and raise your blockchain maturity level.
Which levels does the maturity model contain?

Maturity levels
The KPMG Blockchain Maturity model is based upon the Capability Maturity Model (CMMI) for IT maturity. CMMI is a model owned by ISACA, the international professional body for IT governance. The CMMI uses five maturity levels to measure maturity, ranging from 1 (processes unpredictable, poorly controlled; lowest level) to 5 (focus on process improvement; highest level). The scale is further explained in the figure on the right. Based on the CMMI scale you can easily define your ambition level for blockchain maturity.

Scoring
KPMG scores each blockchain risk area against the CMMI maturity model resulting in a maturity score per risk area. This helps you to identify which risk areas are below your desired maturity level. KPMG provides specific recommendations to improve the maturity level and help you get your blockchain Proof-of-Concept to production level from an IT governance perspective.
What are the risk areas of the blockchain maturity model?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1. Access and user management</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Management of cryptographic keys</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Unauthorized access of participants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Uniquely identifiable users.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• …</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2. Authorization and provisioning management</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Segregation of duties</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Incorrect authorizations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Abuse of high privileged or over authorized users</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• …</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3. Data management</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Data confidentiality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Data integrity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Data availability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• …</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>4. Interoperability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Integrating with legacy systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Monitoring of interconnections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Integrating legacy IT and blockchain internal control mechanisms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• …</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>5. Scalability and performance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Scalability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• System failure or downtime</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Adding extra nodes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• …</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>6. Change management</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Agreement by all participants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Slow adoption</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Forking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• …</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>7. Privacy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Append-only data structure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• The 'right to be forgotten'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• GDPR regulation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• …</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>8. Security</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• The consensus mechanism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• The number of nodes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Location of nodes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• …</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
How does the maturity model scoring work?

The model contains blockchain specific risks grouped in eight IT risk areas.

Each of these risk areas contains multiple risks.

For each risk a number of controls have been defined to allow KPMG to assess the maturity on the specific risk.

1. Access and user management
2. Authorization and provisioning management
3. Data management
4. Interoperability
5. Scalability and performance
6. Change management
7. Privacy
8. Security

Data used within the DLT is invalid or not accurate.
Data is unavailable for the system.
Data is visible for non authorized parties.
Data integrity verification procedures are described.
An assessment has been performed to the implementation and security of used oracles by the DLT.

...
Time schedule

**Day 1**
- Kick-off meeting
- Discuss blockchain use case
- Determine stakeholders for data gathering

**Day 2**
- Interviewing stakeholders
- Gathering documentation

**Day 3**
- Interviewing stakeholders
- Gathering documentation

**Day 4**
- Interviewing stakeholders
- Gathering documentation

**Day 5**
- Analyzing received information
- Filling in blockchain maturity model

**Day 6**
- Analyzing received information
- Filling in blockchain maturity model

**Day 7**
- Discuss findings with interviewees

**Day 8**
- Creating report with findings

**Day 9**
- Creating report with findings

**Day 10**
- Present report with findings and recommendations
Maturity assessment in detail

Assessment questions

— The full model consists of 8 risk areas, each risk area has several risks and for each risk there is a set of maturity questions.

— To give an example we have taken one risk from the ‘Data management’ category and the table on the right shows the associated maturity assessment questions.
Blockchain maturity model assessment findings

Maturity scores

Overall score

— After the assessment has been completed, all the scores for each risk area are visualized in a spider graph.

— Each risk area has obtained an overall score, ranging from level 1 to level 5, depicted in the graph on the right. The scores are elaborated in the details slides.
Blockchain maturity model assessment findings

Access and user management

Detailed score overview

— This risk area has obtained an overall score of level two as depicted on the right.

— The overall risk area score is always the lowest scoring sub-risk. In this case the lowest sub-risk score was a two, leading to an overall risk area level two score.

Risk: authentication mechanisms are not working
Procedures regarding certificate generation, distribution, storage, use and destruction exist on a technical level. Business procedures are yet to be written. The platform uses standard login methods, however in the first phase the system will use dedicated login system. Due to regulation that differs per country the authentication mechanisms used to interface with the DLT can be different for each participant. Digital certificates can be stored both on a hardware device and in software, however periodic checks to confirm the correct working of certificate storage are not performed. Periodic re-issuing/revocation of certificates is not implemented.

Risk: XYZ
Analysis here

Risk: ABC
Analysis here
Blockchain maturity model assessment findings

Authorization and provisioning management

**Maturity Levels**

1. Initial
2. Managed
3. Defined
4. Optimizing
5. Quantitatively managed

**Maturity Scores**

1. Risk: abuse of high privileged users
   - Procedures are in place that ensure that super user access and authorization is restricted to an appropriate (limited) group of individuals. System enforced dual controls on super user actions are not in place. However periodic reviews of the actions of high privileged users are taking place.

   **Risk: XYZ**
   - Analysis here
Blockchain maturity model assessment findings

Interoperability

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MATURITY LEVELS</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Risk: current security mechanisms do not cover all risks within the new (DLT/DLT) environment**

There is no process in place in which the organization documents interface characteristics, security requirements and nature of information communicated between legacy systems and blockchain. Additionally, no monitoring controls are in place to check the correct working of interfaces between blockchain and legacy systems. Also no periodic reviews of interface standards have been scheduled.

Risk: XYZ

Analysis here
Blockchain maturity model assessment recommendations

**Recommendation Access and user Management**
While the preventative controls are implemented, we do see room for improvement on implementing more detective controls such as periodic checks on access rights and associated digital identities. Another suggestion would be to perform monitoring to be able to spot when malicious actors are trying to obtain access to the system.

**Recommendation Authorization and provisioning management**
While authorizations for regular users are thoroughly managed, the access of high privileged users is inadequately supervised and dual control is lacking. Implementing dual control on super user actions is recommended.

**Recommendation Interoperability**
It is recommended to implement monitoring on all connections from the blockchain implementation to legacy systems. Additionally it is recommended to perform periodic reviews of interface standards.
The benefits of the maturity model

CLEAR INSIGHT INTO BLOCKCHAIN RISKS
This framework helps you to get an understanding of the IT risk maturity of the DLT implementation from eight risk areas.

FROM PROOF-OF-CONCEPT TO PRODUCTION
Going from proof-of-concept to a production ready system requires a good view on IT risks. The maturity model identifies weaknesses in your existing blockchain solution.

CONCRETE ACTION PLAN
The assessment gives concrete pointers to risk areas for improvement and concrete recommendations how to improve and raise to the next blockchain maturity level.

UNIQUE AND VALIDATED MODEL
This assessment with its specific blockchain focus is unique in the current market and is based upon solid research, IT risk standards and years of experience and was validated with clients.
Blockchain maturity assessment

— Rabobank is a multinational cooperative bank and the second largest financial service provider in the Netherlands, serving over 10 million customers worldwide.

— Rabobank is very active in developing blockchain use cases. They have run many projects on various topics such as: KYC, payments, trade finance and the food value chain. These projects vary from proof-of-concept stage to production-ready systems.

— KPMG assisted Rabobank in their blockchain journey by applying the blockchain maturity model to one of their blockchain projects.

“... The blockchain maturity model enabled us to get a clear grip on our IT risks when investigating a new blockchain solution”

Chris Huls
Teamlead Blockchain at Rabobank
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