



On the 2021 nomination committee agenda

2021 vision for the year ahead

KPMG Board Leadership Centre



The current crises and after effects have tested the skills and experience of board members and provided time to reflect on how well organisations have responded and their preparedness. The new reality presents a unique opportunity to reshape the board composition by a mixture of introspection, redesigning recruitment processes, and working towards providing truly equal opportunity for talented people to reach a boardroom that is fit for the future. We present some of the key considerations for a nomination committee to explore as they plan for 2021.

Board skills required to support recovery

Covid-19 may well have uncovered some board members with skillsets that did not match the needs of a business in crisis. Whilst the continuing priority is to ensure that talent is still aligned to strategy, it is highly likely strategy has shifted significantly with the focus on recruiting board members with the necessary skillset and bandwidth to aid recovery.

Skills in business transformation, business continuity or restructuring will likely be in demand. Future candidates may even include those with public health expertise to deal with effects from the pandemic that could hang over business and its employees for some time.

The use of third party advisors to support the board in areas where specific expertise is needed will likely continue, but regulators and investors are increasingly seeking greater transparency around who such advisors are and any affiliations, financial interests or ties that might bias their judgement and therefore impact their advice.

The appointment of advisory boards should also be considered to enable leadership to continue to work on their core responsibilities and fill any skills gaps, however clarity on their strategic objectives and how they will be measured, will be key to success.

Courage and emotional intelligence to provide a balance of perspectives should not be underestimated as key requirements to help the CEO and organisation recover from the COVID-19 aftershocks and support growth once again.

Emergency situations

Whilst many companies had existing plans for CEO succession, boards are also considering emergency successors for other key executive roles.

If the current crisis has taught us anything it is that having robust succession plans for times of stress as well as more benign times is critical.

Successors may be identified from 'rising stars' who have dealt with the impacts of the crisis and those that sit on multiple boards who can share insights from other organisations. The use of online recruitment tools and practices such as video interviewing could contribute to a widening of the talent pool as physical meetings become less of a logistical barrier.

On a related note, nomination committees should, as far as possible, seek to preserve stability at the top of the organisation by avoiding appointing the Chair and CEO in quick succession. Similarly, nomination committees should, as far as possible, manage the retirement of board members so as to avoid losing too much corporate memory in one go.

Take action on diversity

China and Hong Kong are lagging behind other major markets in terms of board diversity. Many listed companies in China and Hong Kong still have a homogenous makeup in board diversity.

According to Woman on Boards Report as of 2 July 2020, women make up 13.7% of the Hang Seng Index boards with 12 boards without any female members. MSCI's ESG Research also reported that in 2019 32% of Chinese companies in the MSCI ACWI Index had a full-male board.

Boards should consider appointing an officer to be in-charge of developing and implementing an inclusion and diversity strategy for the board and the organisation as a whole, in order to make long term commitments that create lasting change.



The way boards communicate, engage and report on diversity will be critical going forward. Businesses that create frameworks that are transparent on the steps being taken to understand the issue within an organisation, deliver a plan and regularly report on the outcome will signal to employees and customers, their commitment to change and improve.

Succession planning

Guidance for Boards and Directors published by the HKEx recommends issuers to take into account board succession planning considerations and periodical reviews of the plan during the formulation of nomination policies. In particular, it is suggested that a board skills matrix (i.e. a table displaying the board members' proficiency in specified skills, experience and diversity of perspectives) be adopted to show the directors' attributes and competencies and how they contribute to the issuer's strategic direction and succession planning as well as diversity.

However, many companies are providing little information on how they have sought the right mix of skills and perspectives to drive their long-term success. It is worth noting that the disclosure of a board skills matrix allows the demonstration of a transparent process for board's selection and appointment of directors, thereby enhancing investors' confidence.

Enriching through diversity

Core to the responsibilities of the nomination committee is ensuring that their board has the right combination of skills, backgrounds, experiences, and perspectives – to probe management's strategic assumptions; to enable management to navigate the company through an increasingly volatile and fast-paced global environment – and diversity is one of the most penetrative tools for achieving this.

Diversity is about the richness of the board as a whole, and the combined contribution of a group of people with different skills and perspectives – people with different experiences, backgrounds and life-styles who together are more able to consider issues in a rounded, holistic way and offer an attention to detail that might not be present on less diverse boards.

Diversity can be measured in so many ways: from race and gender, sexual orientation and disability, to geographical heritage. International diversity is especially important for businesses operating across many different markets.

Sir Philip Hampton, Chair of the Hampton-Alexander Review, stresses that *"...strengthening the number of women in executive positions is critical to achieving long-term gender balance. We are still a long way from reaching the target for women in senior leadership roles below board level."*

A diverse board will, by definition, have a number of diverse personalities and getting the most out of them is what sets great chair persons apart: a chair who understands the differences between board members and how they approach any given situation will be better placed to harness their skills and attributes and ensure that the board as a whole is greater than the sum of its parts. Psychometric profiling can be a useful tool in assessing the emotional intelligence of existing and incoming board members.

Think about the breadth of the talent pool from which new board members are sought. Has sufficient attention has been given to recruiting directors with backgrounds in the third sector, academia and government, as well as entrepreneurs and those from family businesses?

Challenge recruitment firms to provide a more diverse list of candidates and be specific about the skills and attributes required. Nomination committees that are themselves diverse, are likely to be more open to bringing in others from more diverse backgrounds.

Planning for increasingly active investors

Institutional investors are increasingly using targeted voting practices to register their displeasure at the board, voting against re-election of directors from the remuneration committee chair who displays an unwillingness to change executive pay arrangements to the audit committee chair who presides over a period of accounting irregularities. ESG has now become a factor too with major investment houses going on record to say they would take voting action against directors at companies that were laggards based on their ESG scores and that could not articulate how they planned to improve their ESG metrics.

The nomination committee chair in particular should be wary of non-adherence to best practice, and can expect to be voted against if: the roles of the chief executive and chair have not been split; a senior independent director has not been appointed; the board has not conducted an externally facilitated evaluation of its effectiveness within the past three years; or an individual who has a significant conflict of interest, or whose past actions demonstrated a lack of integrity or inability to represent shareholder interests is nominated (or re-nominated) to the board.

Challenging conventional wisdom

Rapid technological change and new disruptive business models challenge the more traditional approaches of many established businesses. Companies need to consider the impact of this on their board and look at a wider pool of candidates in order to identify people with the skills needed for them to meet the challenges they face in this new environment.

Traditionally, boards sought out directors with 'big company' experience, but in this new age the ability to understand and leverage technology at speed are vital to success and so a different sort of experience is needed. Nomination committees who don't evolve risk creating boards which are found lacking in the most valuable executive currencies of youth and IT literacy.

Individuals with deep technological expertise can be hired at an executive level but board members still need to be able to 'ask the right questions' and just as important, 'understand the answers', in order to be capable of contributing across the range of issues the board faces – but have the risks around inexperience been historically overstated? And even if not, have they now been surpassed by the potentially higher risks associated with a board lacking in technology literacy?

Consider looking beyond the 'usual suspects' to find people with different experiences and backgrounds - including those who have not served on a listed company board before. With appropriate induction, mentoring and coaching, new directors should be able to adapt reasonably quickly.

Alternatively, consider the use of an advisory body – composed of independent individuals with expertise in specific fields – to advise the board on areas such as technology and innovation. Being less onerous in terms of time commitment and legal responsibilities, such roles might be more attractive to younger less experienced individuals.

The KPMG Board Leadership Centre

The KPMG Board Leadership Centre offers support and guidance to non-executive directors, whether managing a portfolio non-executive career or embarking on a first appointment. Membership offers you a place within a community of board-level peers with access to topical and relevant seminars, invaluable resources and thought leadership, as well as lively and engaging networking opportunities. We equip you with the tools you need to be highly effective in your role, enabling you to focus on the issues that really matter to you and your business.

Learn more at kpmg/cn/boardleadership

Contact us

Shanghai:

Li Fern Woo

Partner

Tel: +86 (21) 2212 2603

lifern.woo@kpmg.com

Grace Chen

Partner

Tel: +86 (21) 2212 2780

grace.xh.chen@kpmg.com

Joyce Ge

Partner

Tel: +86 (21) 2212 3295

joyce.ge@kpmg.com

Bryan Hu

Director

Tel: +86 (21) 2212 3686

bryan.hu@kpmg.com

Sabrina Fang

Director

Tel: +86 (21) 2212 4197

sabrina.hl.fang@kpmg.com

Beijing:

Frank Mei

Partner

Tel: +86 (10) 8508 7188

frank.mei@kpmg.com

Jessica Xu

Partner

Tel: +86 (10) 8508 5952

jessica.xu@kpmg.com

Johnson Li

Partner

Tel: +86 (10) 8508 5975

johnson.li@kpmg.com

Vera Li

Director

Tel: +86 (10) 8508 5870

vd.li@kpmg.com

Haoyu Liu

Director

Tel: +86 (10) 8553 3343

haoyu.liu@kpmg.com

Medivh Luo

Director

Tel: +86 (10) 8508 5016

medivh.luo@kpmg.com

Aaron Ren

Director

Tel: +86 (10) 8508 5454

aaron.ren@kpmg.com

Hong Kong:

Alva Lee

Partner

Tel: +852 2143 8764

alva.lee@kpmg.com

Jia Ning Song

Partner

Tel: +852 2978 8101

jianing.n.song@kpmg.com

Jeffrey Hau

Partner

Tel: +852 2685 7780

jeffrey.hau@kpmg.com

Jens Kessler

Director

Tel: +852 2143 8584

jens.kessler@kpmg.com

Claudia Yu

Director

Tel: +852 2685 7898

claudia.yu@kpmg.com

Paul Cheng

Director

Tel: +852 28 47 5075

paul.cheng@kpmg.com

Guangzhou/Shenzhen:

Kelvin Leung

Partner

Tel: +86 (755) 2547 3338

kelvin.oc.leung@kpmg.com

Eric Chang

Partner

Tel: +86 (20) 3813 7088

eric.chang@kpmg.com

Joyce Xie

Partner

Tel: +86 (755) 2547 1261

joyce.xie@kpmg.com

kpmg.com/cn

The information contained herein is of a general nature and is not intended to address the circumstances of any particular individual or entity. Although we endeavour to provide accurate and timely information, there can be no guarantee that such information is accurate as of the date it is received or that it will continue to be accurate in the future. No one should act on such information without appropriate professional advice after a thorough examination of the particular situation.

© 2021 KPMG Advisory (Hong Kong) Limited, a Hong Kong limited liability company and a member firm of the KPMG global organisation of independent member firms affiliated with KPMG International Limited, a private English company limited by guarantee. All rights reserved. Printed in Hong Kong, China.

The KPMG name and logo are registered trademarks or trademarks of KPMG International.

