Internal Audit (IA) is once again in the spotlight. Increasing demands from regulators and audit committees on the state of the risk management and internal control environment within the business requires Heads of IA to move beyond a simple ‘box-ticking’ approach and to provide meaningful insights into their organisation's corporate governance and management.

During an era of uncertainty in the global economy, many organisations are taking an approach to internal audit that goes beyond simply reviewing past activities. Increasingly, IA functions are expected to provide more forward looking insights in order to help management in the day-to-day running of the business. This paper discusses three of the most common challenges facing IA functions in Hong Kong and how a number of companies are seeking to address these issues.

**Leading practices in Internal Audit**

**the Hong Kong perspective**

Internal Audit challenges in the region

The Greater China region is rapidly evolving as a global centre of business. Today, senior management is mostly concerned about volatility in the global economy, the impact of new regulatory developments and remaining competitive in an environment of rapid technological change. These developments bring with them three major challenges for heads of IA functions:

- **position** of IA in the organisation and existing perceptions that it does not focus on the real business issues that are at the top of management’s agenda,
- **people** not having the requisite skills and experiences to adapt to emerging risks affecting the organisation,
- **processes** creating inefficiencies that prevent IA identifying the underlying causes of issues and introducing new perspectives.

Given these new challenges, the traditional approach of internal audit to monitor for compliance with established policies and procedures is no longer sufficient for meeting the expectations of stakeholders. IA functions need to adapt quickly in order to thrive in this new environment.

**What makes a leading IA function a worthwhile investment?**

A successful IA function does not just highlight the challenges that the company is already aware of but presents new findings, offers fresh perspectives and provides new ways of gathering such insights.

Creating a leading IA practice requires an optimum balance between the function’s positioning, people and processes. Some of the key questions that organisations should be asking of themselves include:

- **positioning:** what is the primary purpose of IA? Does it have a voice at the top table? Are existing reporting lines appropriate for making sure IA is sufficiently heard? Does IA activity have a real effect on the actions of people across the organisation? Where does it fit into the wider risk and governance framework? Does it cooperate with other assurance providers to help mitigate risks?
- **people:** is there an appropriate strategy in place for recruiting and training personnel? Are IA’s requirements adequately reflected in the number of staff and their relevant skillsets? Should IA be an area for audit specialists or for high performing staff from other divisions to obtain broader business exposure? Do IA staff fully grasp the organisation’s wider business strategy?
- **processes:** is there a standard methodology in place to foster an efficient audit process? Is there an appropriate balance between controls compliance and value adding audit activity? Are management concerns and emerging risks given sufficient attention? Are audit recommendations being properly monitored for implementation? Is technology being adequately leveraged in the audit process?

**Hong Kong Snapshot:** in a KPMG and IIA survey* of 100 senior audit executives and Audit Committee members Greater China audit committee members, 64 percent of respondents said that their internal audit functions generally met the expectations of the IIA Standards. Around 53 percent of respondents expected their internal audit investment to increase over the next three years to meet increasing stakeholder demands.
Positioning: focusing on the right issues

Many IA functions suffer from a negative perception due to their tendency to focus on compliance as opposed to business issues. Leading IA functions are addressing this by:

- articulating a clear vision for IA’s role and position within the organisation as an independent third line function,
- developing contingencies in annual audit plans to respond to emerging issues and management requests,
- making sure they are present in governance and internal control forums (executive meetings, audit committees, change initiatives, etc.),
- collaborating with second line functions such as risk and compliance in order to form an integrated view of assurance across the organisation and place greater reliance on their work.

Processes: making audits efficient and effective

Stakeholders often complain that IA does not focus on the right risks, often does not identify the causes of any shortcomings and takes long to deliver audits. To combat this, leading IA functions are taking a number of steps including:

- developing a methodology that also embraces technology and analytics in order to improve the depth and breadth of coverage, streamlines audits using principles or lean and agile, and drives consistency in all audits performed,
- taking a more holistic approach to risk through continuous risk assessments, anticipatory auditing and considering the external environment and emerging risks,
- defining the audible universe and making sure planning processes link back to the top enterprise risks;
- increasing the use of management self-identified issues and management awareness grading on audits;
- setting up ‘toll-gate reviews’ at key stages of the audit cycle (planning, fieldwork, review, etc.) to ensure quality is embedded throughout the process,
- establishing a quality assurance function (or a process or peer reviews for smaller IA functions) to assess the quality of IA’s work both during and after the audit.

Spotlight: focusing on the right audit areas

- **Lay of the land:** the focus of many IA functions continues to be skewed towards assessing procedural compliance. In a 2017 KPMG and IIA survey*, 76 percent of stakeholders described the role of their internal audit function as ‘compliance checkers’
- **Learnings:** Leading functions are auditing areas such as governance processes, business strategy, risk/control procedures and one-off events that result in business changes (e.g. mergers, system implementation, etc.).

People: accessing the right skills

The increasing complexity of the global economy presents challenges for IA functions in recruiting staff with technical expertise in all areas of risk facing the business. To address this, leading IA functions are:

- developing medium-term strategy for both their operating model and staff skillset in order to help deliver IA’s objectives,
- establishing a competency framework that defines the level of skills and training required at each staff grade with greater focus on data analytics and soft skills such as communication and report writing,
- rolling out a guest auditor programme to attract subject matter expertise from across the business,
- taking a strategic approach to hiring external consultants (e.g. to reduce gaps in particular areas, to complete routine tasks to free up in-house auditors for other tasks, etc.).

Spotlight: developing the right skillset

- **Lay of the land:** local IA functions mostly comprise generalist financial auditors with limited experience in emerging risks facing their businesses. In a 2017 KPMG and IIA survey*, 24 percent of respondents said they did not have sufficient resources and 23 percent did not possess the right skills to perform their duties.
- **Learnings:** good communication, regulatory expertise and industry experience are some key skills desired of internal auditors. Leading functions often establish divisions that are responsible for technical training, professional development and quality assurance as well as centres of excellence for key risk areas.

Spotlight: maximising value through data analytics

- **Lay of the land:** the use of analytics is still at a nascent stage. In a 2017 KPMG and IIA survey*, 40 percent noted insufficient use of data and analytics as a challenge. This was mostly due to the lack of resources, in terms of both finances and personnel.
- **Learnings:** while mature IA functions are now leveraging data analytics in audit execution, leading functions are increasingly utilising it throughout the audit lifecycle. This includes, for example, continuous auditing (CA), which helps to enhance audit planning and improve audit efficiency. Effective planning is key to success. Leading functions set out their objectives clearly and consider how analytics can help contribute. They then design governance and reporting methodologies for their CA activities and seek to integrate analytics into the methodology before considering which particular tools to use.

Call for action:

In order to bridge the gap between current practices and best practice as outlined above, we recommend the following three-step plan:

- **understand expectations:** actively engage with the audit committee to understand how IA is meeting expectations in areas such as mandate, coverage and quality of findings.
- **benchmark:** benchmark IA against leading practices or standards such as those issued by the Institute for Internal Auditors to understand the current maturity of the function.
- **develop a roadmap:** develop a roadmap of actions that need to be taken to improve the function so that it can better meet stakeholder expectations.

*Source: An evolving Internal Audit Landscape, KPMG and IIA survey, 2017
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