

On the 2021 remuneration committee agenda

KPMG Board Leadership Center

The current COVID-19 pandemic may have a glimmer of resolution with the recent launch of world-wide vaccination, but the longer term economic impact remains unclear. However, it is evident that companies are adjusting to the new reality that will impact the economy and their businesses. During this period, remuneration committees have made adjustments to their annual agenda and are proactively tracking the impact these changes have on remuneration policies and their implementation. Finding an appropriate and balanced approach to implementing executive pay remains top of the agenda, as shareholder scrutiny of executive remuneration remains high.

Based on recent events and our engagement with board and remuneration committee members across different industries, we have highlighted six areas for remuneration committees to keep in mind as they draw up their 2021 agendas.



Rise of Environment, Social and Governance (ESG) issues

ESG is a hot topic for businesses generally and high on the agenda of most remuneration committees. In particular, an important question is how to link ESG measures to executive pay and whether it is appropriate to do so.

In the last Annual General Meeting (AGM) season, developments in this area were mainly being led by larger organizations, but based on evidence from the latest annual reports, we are starting to see most companies looking to incorporate such measures in the near term. This increased momentum is also driven by elements of the investor community who are beginning to distance themselves from companies that do not have a well-defined ESG agenda.

The main concern is around finding the right ESG metric, but also determining appropriate performance levels when setting targets. There is also significant discussion on whether ESG measures should be adopted into executive pay and whether such performance measures are an effective way of moving the ESG strategy forward.

Linking executive pay with the company's strategy can help drive the right behaviors, encourage a focus on longer term sustainability and create a more nuanced approach to performance than merely focusing on the financial aspects.

COVID-19 has had an impact too – particularly the “S” bit of ESG and the new emphasis placed on the mental, physical and financial wellbeing of employees and their families; as well as a company's impact on the communities in which it operates, on society as a whole and on social equality.

Remuneration committees should look to:

- Understand the company purpose in relation to ESG and consult with stakeholders in order to ensure that any measures introduced are relevant and objective. It is important that there is a clear link between strategy and purpose.
- Materiality is key. Many of the measures being introduced into long or short-term incentives have a relatively low weighting. However, materiality reflects the importance of the chosen ESG metric and therefore a low percentage rating may not convey how important it is.

- Whilst linking ESG to executive pay is a starting point, in order to embed cultural change and enforce the priority within the business, companies must take a collective view and understand how these measures can be filtered down to the wider workforce and be part of the total reward strategy.
- In determining metrics, companies should review their internal processes and how they can meaningfully measure progress.



Effective shareholder and investor engagement

Companies continue to struggle to proactively engage with the investor community in advance of any changes to their executive remuneration framework. Inadequate engagement and presenting remuneration policies as a 'done deal' does little more than put shareholders in a difficult position of choosing between 'grudgingly' voting in favor of remuneration reports and policies or taking on an 'activist' role by advocating for change and withholding votes at annual general meetings.

Clear communication of the 'why' is critical. In some circumstances, we are seeing that the motives of the remuneration committee may be valid but the rationale and proposed mechanisms are not clearly articulated and communicated. This can result in a mismatch in understanding of how the proposed changes to executive remuneration reflect the circumstances of the business and are aligned to the creation of shareholder value and other strategic objectives.

Timing is crucial when engaging with shareholders. Early engagement – with time to incorporate any comments – gives a more collaborative approach to investors than leaving things to later in the process. While remuneration committees may not need to take on all shareholder views, having the views of the major shareholders will be useful in mitigating any adverse reaction from others.

During the pandemic, we have seen a number of executives across all industries take pay cuts or cancel bonuses in an attempt to protect the business. Reaction to these measures has been sceptical in some parts, with executives still taking home significant rewards. With the media keeping a close eye and reporting widely on behaviors as well as numbers we would expect interest from all parties to remain high. It has never been more important to engage early and communicate clearly.



Refreshing performance conditions

Performance conditions are a critical aspect of executive incentives. Generally, a combination of financial and non-financial measures are used by listed companies in annual bonuses. However, performance measures in long-term incentives tend to be more focused towards financial targets, albeit there is a growing trend towards introducing

a non-financial measure to encourage more 'rounded' executive performance.

Deciding on the appropriate performance conditions and setting targets for incentive plans is one of the major tasks that remuneration committees will engage in during the next 18 to 24 months, especially given the impact of COVID-19 and the focus on stabilisation and business recovery.

Some remuneration committees have delayed target setting during this period of uncertainty, but this can only be temporary. Others have adopted a half-yearly approach to target setting and measurement to mitigate any unintended impact. This approach may suffice in the interim for short-term incentives but will not be appropriate for long-term incentives. Adjusting performance conditions for in-flight incentive plans is not perceived favorably by shareholders.

From an investor perspective, there will be a focus on how executives are going to increase shareholder value and it is likely that executive pay will focus much more on performance, particularly in terms of incentivising and rewarding the recovery of the business. At the same time, executive reward will need to be balanced against wider employee reward to avoid windfall gains only for those at the very top and any consequential reputational damage for the company.

While the business outlook may currently seem unsettled, the end result may be different and therefore, proactive monitoring and tracking of performance will be required.



Inclusion, diversity and social equality

Having a clear diversity and inclusion agenda is critical as some institutional investors are now contemplating withholding votes in the next AGM season for companies whose boards are not sufficiently diverse.

At the board level, diversity provides the opportunity for different voices to be heard and a platform for more sustainable decision making; and there is some evidence that companies with more diverse board members perform better than those lacking in diversity.

From a pay perspective, remuneration committees and organizations should reflect on the composition of the total reward package more and consider if there are constituents which may discourage the promotion of individuals from minority groups. Where these are identified, a plan should be put in place to address this.

Inclusion, diversity and social equality, should be part of the broader ESG agenda and as a result of recent political and social events, some companies are taking steps to put plans in place to link diversity (specifically around gender and ethnicity) and equal pay targets to executive pay. This is a trend that many other companies may look to replicate going forward.



Incentive arrangements – the debate on restricted share plans

Executive incentives have been subject to scrutiny by institutional investors and voting agencies for some time now. However, the current climate has proved the catalyst for some fresh thinking on how best to deliver executive compensation and long-term incentives. For example, the use of Restricted Share Plans (RSPs) have gained momentum and have now been implemented by a number of listed companies – albeit with mixed reception from investors.

RSPs are similar to Performance Share Plans (PSPs) but based on time vesting only (although generally based on some performance aspects). Whilst the use of RSPs is gaining traction, it is this lack of formal performance conditions that makes such plans less appealing to shareholders.

Nevertheless, in light of the downturn in both share prices and economic activity, RSPs may provide an attractive option to incentivize executives through this period of business recovery.

RSPs deliver more certain incentive payouts to executives – still exposing them to movements in the share price but without the highly leveraged effect of many of today's PSP awards. The mixed investor reception to RSP proposals means that they should be used with caution that the number of RSP awards should be discounted by at least 50% of a normal PSP arrangement. It should also take into account some element of performance, as has become common practice.

RSPs are particularly useful in cyclical businesses where setting performance targets may be difficult, as well as for less capitalized companies that may offer a less complicated long-term incentive plans in line with their growth phase. RSPs also have the potential to deliver an appropriate incentive using fewer shares than stock option plans (which are being phased out in most listed companies) and therefore have a less dilutive impact.



Reputational dangers and the fairness agenda

Being in the news for excessive executive pay does not send the right message to potential investors and the public. Whilst the reputational impact of executive pay issues may not be quantified immediately, the ruckus caused will mean that remuneration committee and other key officers will spend significant amount of time on issues which are not core to the business itself.

As we have already observed, the economic and societal impact of COVID-19 has put increased public scrutiny on executive pay, particularly as CEOs have had to make tough decisions around salaries, jobs, furlough and the future of their staff.

This, in turn, has led to more questions around the 'fairness' of pay and we expect increasing momentum on this issue to lead companies to look more broadly at how their people are valued and rewarded. The fairness agenda has been a hot topic for some time. However its importance has increased exponentially as a result of the pandemic which laid bare the disparities in pay across society as well as highlighting the significant effort and sacrifices made by many employees who are 'low-wage' earners.

As a result, we anticipate a greater focus on social equality and the levelling of pay, with more value being placed on performance across the board and a flatter pay curve effectively 'levelling-up' the wider employee population.

We might also see increased employee participation in long-term incentives to enable them to hold a share in what they have contributed to.

With such a swing in public perception and at a time when companies are striving to stabilize and recover their businesses in uncertain economic times, a failure to be seen as a 'good citizen' and support employees, could result in a significant reputational blow that it may be hard to recover from.

Further reading



On the 2021 Board agenda



On the 2021 Audit Committee agenda



On the 2021 Nomination Committee agenda

About the KPMG Board Leadership Center

The KPMG Board Leadership Center offers support and guidance to board members. We equip you with the tools and insights you need to be highly effective in your role, enabling you to focus on the issues that really matter to you and your business. In addition, we help you to connect with peers and exchange experiences.

Learn more at kpmg.ch/blc

Contacts

KPMG AG

Räffelstrasse 28
PO Box
8036 Zurich

[kpmg.ch](https://www.kpmg.ch)

Rolf Hauenstein

Partner, Head of the Board Leadership Center
KPMG Switzerland

+41 58 249 42 57
rhauenstein@kpmg.com

Hélène Béguin

Partner, Chairwoman of the Board of Directors
KPMG Switzerland

+41 58 249 45 74
hbeguina@kpmg.com

The information contained herein is of a general nature and is not intended to address the circumstances of any particular individual or entity. Although we endeavor to provide accurate and timely information, there can be no guarantee that such information is accurate as of the date it is received, or that it will continue to be accurate in the future. No one should act on such information without appropriate professional advice after a thorough examination of the particular situation. The scope of any potential collaboration with audit clients is defined by regulatory requirements governing auditor independence. If you would like to know more about how KPMG AG processes personal data, please read our Privacy Policy, which you can find on our homepage at www.kpmg.ch.

© 2021 KPMG AG, a Swiss corporation, is a subsidiary of KPMG Holding AG, which is a member firm of the KPMG global organization of independent member firms affiliated with KPMG International Limited, a private English company limited by guarantee. All rights reserved.